## **Bay Delta Conservation Plan Review Document Comment Form**

Document: Initial Thoughts on Alternatives to Take Under the Proposed Project, as Discussed at the 2/19/09 Conveyance Working Group Meeting; February 24, 2009

Name: Phil Harrington Affiliation: City of Antioch

Date: February 27, 2009

Please use this form to document your comments to the above document. Please number your comments in the first column and indicate the page, section, and line number (if provided) that reference the comment's location in the review document in the next three columns. **Return completed comment forms to Rick Wilder (wilderrm@saic.com) and Pete Rawlings (rawlingsms@saic.com).** 

To be of the greatest value to the document development process, please make your comments as specific as possible (e.g., rather than stating that more current information is available regarding a topic, provide the additional information [or indicate where it may be acquired]; rather than indicating that you disagree with a statement, indicate why you disagree with the statement and recommend alternative text for the statement). Do not enter information in the **Disposition** column. This column will be used by SAIC to record how each comment was addressed during the document revision process.

No.	Page	Section	Line	Comment	Disposition
1	# All	#	#	This document presents five alternatives for consideration as part of the BDCP process. As discussed during the meeting, it appears that the five alternatives could be collapsed into three major alternatives: <b>South Delta Exports Only</b> (No Action, Thru-Delta Alternative Only); <b>Isolated Facility Only</b> (Fully Isolated Alternative); and <b>Dual Conveyance</b> (Proposed Project and Reduced Export Alternative). There could then be variants on each of the three major alternatives to incorporate a range of parameters (differing bypass requirements, operating rules, facility sizing, etc.). Using three major alternatives, with some evaluation of the variants possible for each, would provide a clearer picture of the differences between the various options and would facilitate the presentation and understanding of this information. The City of Antioch therefore suggests that three major alternatives be presented.	